	Case 1:21-cv-00360-DAD-HBK Docume	nt 14 Filed 09/24/21 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	LARRY STEVEN LOPEZ,	Case No. 1:21-cv-00360-HBK
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER APPROVING PARTIES' JOINT
13	V.	STIPULATION UNDER SENTENCE FOUR OF 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) AND REVERSING
14	COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL	FINAL DECISION AND REMANDING CASE
15	SECURITY,	(Doc. No. 12)
16	Defendant.	
17	Pending before the Court is the parties' Stipulation for Remand filed September 23, 2021.	
18	(Doc. No. 12). Plaintiff Larry Steven Lopez and the Commissioner of Social Security jointly	
19	stipulate to remand this case for further administrative proceedings under sentence four of 42	
20	U.S.C. § 405(g) and for judgment to be entered in Plaintiff's favor. (<i>Id.</i>).	
21	The United States Supreme Court held that the Social Security Act permits remand in	
22	conjunction with a judgment either affirming, reversing, or modifying the Secretary's decision.	
23	See Melkonyan v. Sullian, 501 U.S. 89, 97-98 (1991) (addressing issue of attorney's fees under	
24	the Equal Access to Justice Act and calculating deadline using date of final judgment). The	
25	Melkonyan Court recognized 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) contemplates only two types of remand –	
26	sentence four or sentence six. Id. at 98. A sentence four remand authorizes a court to enter "a	
27	judgment affirming, modifying, or reversing the decision of the Secretary, with or without	
28	resetting the cause for a rehearing." Id. at 98 (other citations omitted).	

Case 1:21-cv-00360-DAD-HBK Document 14 Filed 09/24/21 Page 2 of 2

1 Here, the parties' stipulation seeks a remand of the instant case under sentence four, so the 2 ALJ will consider the medical opinions of record and prior administrative findings; reassess the 3 claimant's residual functional capacity; obtain vocational expert to clarify the effect of the 4 addressed limitations on the claimant's ability to perform work in the national economy, resolving any apparent conflicts between this evidence and the Dictionary of Occupational Titles. 5 6 (Doc. No. 12 at 2). The parties further stipulate that Appeals Council will not direct a new 7 hearing but will defer to the ALJ's discretion whether a new hearing is necessary. (*Id.*). 8 Accordingly, it is now **ORDERED**: 9 1. The Court APPROVES the parties' Joint Stipulation (Doc. No. 12). 2. 10 The Commissioner of Social Security's decision is REVERSED, judgment shall 11 be entered in favor of Plaintiff, and this case is REMANDED to the Commissioner of Social 12 Security for further proceedings consistent with the parties' Joint Stipulation and this Order under 13 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), sentence four. 14 3. The Clerk is respectfully requested to terminate any pending motions/deadlines 15 and close this case.

Dated: September 24, 2021

HELENA M. BARCH-KUCHTA

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

21

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28